

**Authors:** [YOUR NAME]

**Reviewers** [lgtm ✓]:

**Status:** ***Draft*** | In Review | Approved | In progress | Completed

**Last updated:** [date]

**Self-link:** [site.com/product-feature-doc-plan] (ex: [todhilton.com/technicalwriting/docplan](https://todhilton.com/technicalwriting/docplan))

---

\* for a table of contents, click the outline button  in the upper-left corner of the screen \*

---

## Launch details

- Published location: Link to Google Doc or .com path
- Publishing system tracking entry:
- Launch stage: Preview | GA (general availability)
- Publication date: Begin rollout | Finish rollout
- Docs tracking bug:
- Docs CL (change list):
- Eng team work plan:
- Product team OKR/goal:

## Project overview

*Summary of the product/launch/feature covered by this documentation plan.*

- *What does this feature do (link to functional spec)?*
- *How does this feature work?*
- *Rationale for why this feature is being implemented.*
- *What goals does this feature address?*

*This could also be where you describe the Problem Statement you're trying to solve.*

- *What is the problem and why address it?*
- *What is the goal of addressing the problem?*
- *What is the expected impact/outcome?*

## Documentation deliverables

*Summary of the proposed documentation deliverables: allowlisted Google Doc, updates to existing documentation, API reference documentation, new documentation on cloud.google.com, and so on.*

*Call out non-goals and options to be considered.*

## Audience and use cases

*Describe the audience for this documentation set and information experience. Provide a summary of use cases or critical user journeys (CUJs) being addressed, this could include links to detailed CUJs for reference ([Summer Kim on the best ways to approach user research](#)). Be sure to consider assumptions being made and the key tasks of each use case/CUJ.*

## Information architecture

*Describe the approach to and decisions made around information architecture (IA) for the feature/product, no matter the size or impact. Actual doc locations and ordering can be detailed in the Outline. Considerations:*

- *Where is the content going to go?*
- *Where are you linking from and to?*
- *Do any other docs need to be updated as a result?*
- *Why is a 'how-to' doc the best type of content?*
- *Does the addition of this feature make refactoring the IA beneficial to other features/areas?*

*If no updates are anticipated, acknowledge that and provide a succinct reasoning for why.*

## Outline

*Provide an outline of the tasks, making sure they have enough detail to create a draft from. The outline should cover all the elements of the proposed changes.*

Topic	Description/Notes

## Timeline and tasks

*Tasks to consider scheduling:*

- *When will you finalize the documentation plan?*
- *When will the engineering team provide a software release candidate (RC) for testing?*

- *When will the product team share draft content with TW?*
- *When will the highest priority content be edited?*
- *When will the TW set up the doc framework?*
- *When will all the docs be verified and reviewed by TW?*
- *When will the docs have a final review by TW?*
- *When will the docs be published?*

*Work estimate timeframes:*

- *S = Less than 1 week (straightforward, low complexity, and/or small scope)*
- *M = 1-2 weeks (some unknowns, intermediate complexity, and/or medium scope)*
- *L = More than 2 weeks (many unknowns, high complexity, and/or large scope)*

Task	Work estimate	ETA	Status

## Issues to be resolved

*Describe dependencies, risks, and any issues expected with the product, feature, or documentation. Be sure to follow up with descriptions of how they're addressed/resolved. This shows the complexity of the work. For example:*

- *Was the timeline impacted by failures testing docs or initial customer experiences?*
- *Did you need to coordinate the writing and release with TWs of other products?*
- *Did you need to coordinate with DPEs to create the content?*
- *Did partner engagement (or lack thereof) cause a delay?*

## Options considered

*Summarize significant options discussed, considered, and ultimately not implemented. Significance is up to interpretation, but try to capture anything that might later be questioned or revisited.*

## Resources

*Helpful items, including but not limited to:*

- *Link to PRDs (product requirements doc):*
- *Link to mocks:*
- *Link to OKRs (product team & writing team):*
- *Link to existing docs (preview user guides, previous versions):*

- Link to external blogs by us or others for background:
- How & where to test:
- Link to related bugs (support, customer feedback):
- Link to related features:
- Mailing lists:
- SMEs and others who are knowledgeable about the areas covered:

## Writerly resources

- The template for this doc plan is at [todhilton.com/technicalwriting/docplan](http://todhilton.com/technicalwriting/docplan)
- [Google developer documentation style guide](#)

## Discussions and record of decisions

*Create a changelog of discussions and decisions. Add summary of discussions (including dates & attendees) with links to detailed meeting notes. Recommend using a separate, single document to track all meeting notes related to this doc plan.*